of cosmopolitanism in polychaetes reported by Ekman (1953), coupled with findings of unsuccessful interbreeding of allopatric populations (Bacci & La Grecca, 1953), leads to the uneasy realization that many different species of polychaetes may be grouped under the same binomal. As Fauchald (1969) has pointed out, the degree of difference employed by polychaete systematists for specific and generic distinction is often considerably greater than that used by systematists in other taxa. Recent findings, such as those of Bellan & Lagardère (1971) for Nerine cirratulas and N. mesnili, indicate that there need not be much morphological distinction even between sympatric species of polychaetes.

Additional material may prove the distinctions employed here to be too coarse, but, at present, the genera of Dorvilleidae may be distinguished according to the following key.

KEY TO THE GENERA OF DORVILLEIDAE

1.	Notoacicula present		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	
	Notoacicula absent																		3	
2.	Furcate setae present												Sc	his	to	me	erir	igo	S	
	Furcate setae absent															Dc	עזו	ille	a	
3.	Furcate or geniculate																		4	
	Furcate and geniculat																			
4.	Palps well developed																			11
	Palps reduced or abse																			,,
5.	Only simple acicular s																		a\	,
	Both capillary and co	mp	ou	ınd	l se	eta	e p	res	sen	t						•			- 1	7
6.	Setae of first setiger n								fre	oπ	1 0	th	ers		. 1	Exc	ıllo	ри	z \	7
	Setae of first setiger s								•											
7.	Antennae long and cit	rif	OTI	m									A_{l}	ор	hr	yo	tro	ch	a	l
	Antennae reduced and	l p	ap:	illi	fo	m		•						Op	hr	yo	tro	ch	a	١
		_	_																	١,

APPENDIX I

Poorly known subbiramous dorvilleids

The following four species are indeterminable on the basis of their original descriptions: Anisoceras bioculata Grube, 1856; Staurocephalus Grubei Kinberg, 1865; Priognathus Boecki Malmgren, 1867; and, Staurocephalus micropthalmus Grube, 1880.

The following three species remain poorly known; they were described before attention focused on the presence or absence of furcate setae and so can not be definitely assigned to either *Dorvillea* or *Schistomeringos: Staurocephalus brachyceros* Grube, 1878b; *Staurocephalus brevipinnis* Grube, 1878a; and, *Staurocephalus filicornis* Grube, 1878a.

Staurocephalus australis Haswell, 1886, and Staurocephalus Loveni Kinberg, 1865, clearly belong to Schistomeringos. Insufficient information is available, however, to evaluate Augener's (1922) synonymy of these two nominal species. Staurocephalus matsushimaensis Okuda & Yamada, 1954, is described

way, NO